

# What are we Talking About? Distilling Research Findings to Bridge the Word Gap through Practice, Policy, and Research Briefs Alana G. Schnitz, Kajsa Mullenix, Judith Carta, Dale Walker, Xigrid Soto-Boykin\*, Charles Greenwood, & Lisa Hund\*\*

# Introduction

- Increasing dissemination of scientific discovery by researchers is of paramount importance to make a difference for young children and families.
- How researchers can effectively disseminate scientific findings to have an impact on the people who use the interventions is needed.

#### Purpose

- The Bridging the Word Gap Research Network (BWGRN) conducted seven research syntheses to identify strengths and gaps in the language intervention research addressing the word gap.
- These systematic reviews were published in a special issue of *Early* Childhood Research Quarterly (Walker & Carta, 2020).
- Dissemination of scientific discovery by researchers is of paramount importance if we are to make a difference for young children and families.
- Limitations of traditional research formats for reaching those who could benefit most from our findings led us to find innovative ways to disseminate our research.
- We created briefs in a form that facilitates understanding of research findings by practitioners and policymakers.
- Our purpose is to describe the innovative process used and illustrate the research, practice, and policy briefs developed for disseminating research findings broadly.

## Method

- To translate this research, we developed practitioner, policy and research briefs by distilling key messages and tailoring the information about language interventions for early childhood educators and interventionists, pediatricians, nurses, and public health care professionals, home visitors, policymakers, community members and researchers.
- Briefs were developed through an iterative process with the design team, researchers, and feedback from the target audience of the brief.
- Two-page briefs were created with the targeted messages.
- A strategic plan was used to disseminate the briefs.
- Social media (Facebook (Meta), Instagram, twitter), email, and posting to the BWG website.
- Key influencers in each field were identified and partnered with the BWGRN to expand the reach of each brief.

COMMUNITY SECTORS CAN DGE THE WORD GAP Kisit Our Website: http://bwg.ku.e WHAT IS THE WORD GAP? ows the quality and quantity of words and interactions to which young childre can result in children having delayed language, challenges in learning to read, and persis AT PARENTS AND CAREGIVERS NEED TO KNOW first three years of life ŝF.3 e the most important for dren's language elopment. Children's early langu ndation for school readiness ind later reading skill The rich and nurturing language interactions nildren experience impa eir brain development. Parents have the power to create rich language experiences that can foste heir children's school success V HEALTHCARE PROFESSIONALS CAN HELP BRIDGE THE WORD GAP 🔺 Visit Our Website: http://bwg.ku.ec CHILDREN'S EXPERIENCES IN THE FIRST YEARS LAST A LIFETIME Pediatric Health Care providers play a critical role in empowering parents with knowledge about anguage Nutrition refers to the way children's e interactions with parents and caregivers support their brain development, their socialmotional well-being and their capacity to learn. As a health care provider, you are in a key position to help parents arn why talking to their child is important and how to promote Language Nutrition durina evervdav activities Just as you help parents understand the importance of nutrition for promoting children's health, you can help parents understand how Language Nutrition will nurture their children's brain development and build a foundation for later learning. BRIDGING THE WORD GAP RESEARCH ROUNDU SYSTEMATIC SURVEY OF LANGUAGE INTERVENTION IMPLEMENTED BY EARLY CHILDHOOD EDUCATORS, EARLY INTERVENTIONISTS, & CHILD CARE PROVIDERS WHAT DID YOU STUDY? We conducted a systematic survey of studies investigating the effects of language interventions implemented by early childhood educators, early interventionists, and child care providers. Our goal was to evaluate the strengths and weaknesses in the literature to help inform future research HOW DID YOU STUDY IT AND WHAT DID YOU FIND? Within the repository of language intervention studies identified by the Bridging the Word Gap Resear Network (Carta, Greenwood, & Walker, 2016), we located 513 language intervention studies published tween 1975 and 2015. Within that group of studies, we focused on the 190 studies targeting interventic red in child care and early education settings by early childhood educators, early interventionists, chi are providers, and non-parental adults WHAT DID YOU FIND? Question I. Who received the interventions and what were their characteristics? The majority of studies (75%) were carried out with preschool-aged children (aged 3-5 years). Much smaller percentages of studies included infants (only 4%) or toddlers (just 27%) Forty-six percent of studies included kindergarteners (aged 5-6 years).  $\circ$  Only 35% of the intervention studies included children from low socioeconomic status  $\circ$  Only 26% of studies reported on children's home language. From these studies, 54% indicated Spanish Question 2. Who were the interventionists and how were they trained? 1 81% of the studies, teachers early childhood educators, or early interventionists providers, or child ( ders, were the implementers of the language interventions ionists were most often trained in group workshops (30% of studies), with coaching and mance feedback (28%), and with modeling (25%)

RINGE THE WORD GAP 🖌 Visit Our Website: http://bwg.ku.e ONE-THIRD OF CHILDREN IN THE U.S. ARE BILINGUAL Spanish is the most common home language spoken by bilingual children, followed by Chinese, Arabic, and Vietnamese. Bilingual children live in all areas of the country and not just in urban settings. FACTS ABOUT BILINGUALISM There is strong research evidence that: Children are not confused by learning two or more languages Speaking a language other than English does not harm English language development. aualism results in academic, coanitive, social, and economic benefits **BILINGUAL CHILDREN NEED INSTRUCTION IN BOTH LANGUAGES** hildren use their knowledge of their home language to learn English. eachina bilinaual children in Enalish only does not help them learn Enalish faster. lingual instruction helps children learn English while maintaining their home language **₽**₀₹ Maintaining the home language allows children to have ronger connections with their families, better self-esteem, nd greater pride in their culture. OME VISITORS CAN HELP BRIDGE THE WORD GAP ¥ Visit Our Website: http://bwg.ku.ed INTS AND OTHER CAREGIVERS PLAY A CRITICAL ROLE IN THEIR EN'S DEVELOPMENT arents' and caregivers' interactions with their children in the first 3 years of life ote children's brain development. Parents' and caregivers' interactions with their young children predict their ildren's future academic success ROMOTE CHILDREN'S FUTURE SUCCESS mote their children's language during daily routine me parents and caregivers are not sure they have enough time in their day to "be their children's first teachers." Many parents are empowered to learn that their everydo teractions with their infants and toddlers can affect th ildren's school readiness. earch points to three specific strategies as most ctive for training parents and caregivers in 'brain uilding' language interactions. (see next page) What is the research auidina this brief is brief is based on a research synthesis conducted by Biel and colleagues (2020) published in Early Childhood and parents to support the language development of children between 0-5 years old. REFERENCE: Biel, C. H., Buzhardt, J., Brown, J. A., Romano, M. K., Lorio, C. M., Windsor, K. S., ... & Goldstein, H. (2020). erventions taught to caregivers in homes and classrooms: A review of interve Early Childhood Research Quarterly 50, 140-156 ire those of the author and should not be construed as the official position or policy of nor should any BRIDGING THE WORD GAP RESEARCH ROUNDUP **A SYSTEMATIC REVIEW OF LANGUAGE INTERVENTION** ESEARCH FOR CHILDREN FROM LOW-INCOME BACKGROUNDS: A WORD GAP PREVENTION PERSPECTIVE WHAT DID YOU STUDY? We conducted a systematic review of language intervention studies conducted with young children from low-income backgrounds likely to experience the Word Gap. From a prevention perspective, we evaluated the strength of evidence supporting interventions conducted with this population as well as needed features for scalability and for replication by communities to produce population-level outcomes. We evaluated studies relative to the standards established by the Society for Prevention Science (see Gottfredson et al. 015) that include evidence of ecological validity, rigor/trustworthiness, and readiness for scale-up. Ecological validity referred to evidence from studies conducted in authentic settings by authentic implementers targeting children from low-income families. Trustworthiness included evidence from studies incorporating strong controls for internal and external validity, as well as measurement of the necessary and sufficient intervention conditions implemented. Readiness for scale-up included evidence that the intervention can be implemented as intended by community implementers, that the intervention has the necessary infrastructure to support its implementation, and that it has the tools needed for monitoring its implementation fidelity. HOW DID YOU STUDY IT? ithin the repository of language intervention studies identified by the Bridging the Word Gap Research vork (Carta, Greenwood, & Walker, 2016), we located 513 language intervention studies publishec een 1975 and 2015. Within that group of studies, only 27% (140) focused on children and families fro rounds meeting our inclusionary criteria by specifying research design quality indicators ntervention features, and participant characteristics WHAT DID YOU FIND?

1. Were the interventions ecologically valid and carried out in authentic implementers and in eal-life settings? There was relatively weak evidence of ecological validity. Only 27% of studies included participants from underserved groups. The majority of interventions were implemented by research staff and not parents, early educators, or home visitors. Most interventions were carried out in child care (57%) or home settings (41%); few took place in community settings like laundromats or grocery stores. Were the intervention studies trustworthy? ustworthiness of studies varied by the type of research design. In 58% of studies, researchers employed the gold standard, randomized control trial to rul more than half of these did not control for selection bias; and in 15% of studies, researcher employed single-case designs and met most of the design standards.

- and entities.
- website.

The methods described have implications for early childhood researchers for the dissemination of research findings using formats that can be accessible to practitioners and policymakers, community, and business leaders.

- dissemination?

This work is supported by the Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) under UA6MC27762 & U6DMC42197: Maternal and Child Health Bridging the Word Gap Research Network. This information or content and conclusions are those of the authors and should not be construed as the official position or policy of, nor should any endorsements be inferred by HRSA, HHS, or the U.S. Government. Additional support was received through the Kansas Intellectual and Developmental Disabilities Research Center (U54 HD090216). We acknowledge the contributions from the BWG Leadership and Network members to this work. This poster was presented at the Conference for Research Innovations in Early Intervention (CRIEI), Mission Bay, San Diego, CA. February 10 - 12, 2022. \*Dr. Xigrid Soto-Boykin is at Arizona State University. \*\*Lisa Hund is with HRSA, Maternal and Child Health Bureau, Rockville, MD.

Citation: Walker, D., & Carta, J. J. (2020). Intervention research to improve languagelearning opportunities and address the inequities of the word gap. *Early Childhood* Research Quarterly, Special Issue, 50(1), 1-5.

**Contact:** aschnitz@ku.edu and see the website at: www.bwg.ku.edu/.



#### Results

• A weekly campaign for each brief included three postings of information which was released to over 1000 organizations

• The briefs have led to 4,000 unique visitors to our BWG

• The campaign brought in 91 new followers on Instagram and 113 engagements (new account). On Facebook(Meta) the campaign reached 1,528 users with 129 user engagements (click, like, share). On Twitter the campaign reached 5,890 users and produced 1,657 profile visits.



#### Discussion

• To move the needle on closing the word gap, what are additional ways to effectively communicate about, and disseminate, research findings?

How can early childhood researchers disseminate research to targeted stakeholders to ensure that our innovations are adopted and scaled?

• What are the mechanisms available and needed to be developed to serve as repositories for innovative

## Acknowledgments